IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 9480 of 1999

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE R.R.TRIPATHI

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

JAYANTIBHAI H PATEL

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

MR IS SUPEHIA for Petitioner

MR SP HASURKAR for Respondent No. 1

MR DN PATEL for Respondent No. 2

MR HS MUNSHAW for Respondent No. 3

RULE SERVED for Respondent No. 5

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE R.R.TRIPATHI

Date of decision: 13/04/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

#. Mr.A.S.Supehia, for the petitioner. Mr.S.P.Hasurkar Ld.Additional G.P. for respondent nos.1 and 5.

Mr.D.N.Patel, for the respondent no.2. Mr.Munshaw for the respondent no.3 and 4. Mr.Digant Joshi, Ld.A.G.P. for Mr.Hasurkar.

#. Rule was issued on 27.1.2000 making it returnable on 24.2.2000. With the consent of the parties, today the matter is taken up for final disposal. Mr.Supehia, submits that though the petitioner has retired with effect from 30.4.1996 till date authority has not fixed his pension. It is further submitted by Mr.Supehia, that the petitioner is tossed from one authority to other and non of the respondents are taking initiative to redress the grievance of the petitioner.

#. Looking to the facts and circumstances of the case, respondent no.1 is directed to look into the matter of the petitioner and finally decide the same in consultation with Respondent NO. 5. Mr.Supehia, submits that the respondent no.1 shall treat this petition as an additional representation of the petitioner and shall decide the same. Mr.Supehia, shall furnish the copy of this petition to the respondent no.1, who is already served and represented before this court only with a view to expedite the decision of the respondent no.1 latest by 28.4.2000.

#. Respondent no.1 in consultation with the respondent no.5 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner latest by 15.6.2000. With the aforesaid direction the petition is allowed. Rule is made absolute with no order as to costs. It will be open to the petitioner to revive this petition, in case the grievance of the petitioner still survive.

(RAVI R. TRIPATHI, J.)

kks